

not a domestic relation or relationship, but it would not be so difficult to decide, what were the customs or what theories of the Chinese according to the laws of their own country. I would not be prepared to propose that the Attorney-General is better than that proposed by the hon. member for two reasons. In the first place it prevents the insertion of those words which I do not believe thinking would give rise to danger hereafter. It would be to insert the words "except marriage customs to Chinese." Now what the legislature in a Colony like this has to do—although we know the Chinese happen to be the largest element in the population—is to give respect to the customs of the Chinese we may respect the customs of the Indian, who may be amongst them the amendment of the Attorney-General would not affect the marriage customs of the Chinese. I do not think that the customs might be questioned under a law of this description. For these two reasons I would prefer the amendment of the Attorney-General. But I prefer to rather than the other, the motto of the hon. member is "consent of the hon. member still wishes to propose his amendment the amendment of the Attorney-General but the last one will have to be taken into consideration. I do not think that the hon. member will be taken, but if he is satisfied with the amendment of the Attorney-General, he might perhaps consent to withdraw his amendment."

HON. HO KAI—I am willing to withdraw the words "domestic relationship" from my amendment and let it read thus: "Provided nothing contained in this Bill shall affect the marriage customs and usages among the Chinese of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see why you should say "this Colony." I think the marriage customs should be under the control of the laws of their own country. Supporting a position existed among the Chinese here which is contrary to the established law of China. Is the Chinese law to be made null and void? I think customs which may exist among the Chinese here.

HON. HO KAI—Tuen I will take out the words "of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think the word "customs" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HON. HO KAI—Your Excellency says we cannot say "usage" and "customs" are simply exempting certain things from the operation of the law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see what difference there is between the two. The word "usage" is a Chinese word and anyone else is allowed to go any extent and by claiming that custom is in fact exempt from punishment. The only difference I see between the amendment of the Attorney-General and your own is that he puts "laws" instead of "usages."

HON. HO KAI—But to a certain extent customs in England and the common law is an unwritten law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—There are certain qualifications custom must possess before it is recognized as a custom. It must be long established, that is a good custom, and other qualifications.

HON. HO KAI—If there is an objection to the word "usage" I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—That is practically what I suggested at the outset.

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL—I attach importance to the word "unmarried." The word "unmarried" is put in under direct instructions from the Secretary of State. The objection on the last occasion was that as the Chinese do not marry and are not married, it was a mistake. My amendment now recognizes them as well as that of other Asiatics. Surely that is a great point.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think myself the Attorney-General's amendment is everything. It says a person shall not be liable to punishment if he obeys up to the laws and customs of his own country. I put the amendments if the hon. member still insists on the word "usage" I see any difference between the two now except that one applies to Asiatics and the other exclusively to Chinese, and I think therefore the former is the better.

HON. J. J. KENNEDY—It seems to me regrettable that the amendments cannot be reconciled. To all intents and purposes they are the same. I think that the Attorney-General's amendment is a better one. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—Exactly. That is why I am disposed towards that of the Attorney-General. I am not objecting to the word "usage" but I am objecting to the word "usage" which is contained in the amendment of the Attorney-General. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see why you should say "this Colony." I think the marriage customs should be under the control of the laws of their own country. Supporting a position existed among the Chinese here which is contrary to the established law of China. Is the Chinese law to be made null and void? I think customs which may exist among the Chinese here.

HON. HO KAI—Tuen I will take out the words "of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think the word "customs" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HON. HO KAI—Your Excellency says we cannot say "usage" and "customs" are simply exempting certain things from the operation of the law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see what difference there is between the two. The word "usage" is a Chinese word and anyone else is allowed to go any extent and by claiming that custom is in fact exempt from punishment. The only difference I see between the amendment of the Attorney-General and your own is that he puts "laws" instead of "usages."

HON. HO KAI—But to a certain extent customs in England and the common law is an unwritten law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—There are certain qualifications custom must possess before it is recognized as a custom. It must be long established, that is a good custom, and other qualifications.

HON. HO KAI—If there is an objection to the word "usage" I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—That is practically what I suggested at the outset.

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL—I attach importance to the word "unmarried." The word "unmarried" is put in under direct instructions from the Secretary of State. The objection on the last occasion was that as the Chinese do not marry and are not married, it was a mistake. My amendment now recognizes them as well as that of other Asiatics. Surely that is a great point.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think myself the Attorney-General's amendment is everything. It says a person shall not be liable to punishment if he obeys up to the laws and customs of his own country. I put the amendments if the hon. member still insists on the word "usage" I see any difference between the two now except that one applies to Asiatics and the other exclusively to Chinese, and I think therefore the former is the better.

HON. J. J. KENNEDY—It seems to me regrettable that the amendments cannot be reconciled. To all intents and purposes they are the same. I think that the Attorney-General's amendment is a better one. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—Exactly. That is why I am disposed towards that of the Attorney-General. I am not objecting to the word "usage" but I am objecting to the word "usage" which is contained in the amendment of the Attorney-General. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see why you should say "this Colony." I think the marriage customs should be under the control of the laws of their own country. Supporting a position existed among the Chinese here which is contrary to the established law of China. Is the Chinese law to be made null and void? I think customs which may exist among the Chinese here.

HON. HO KAI—Tuen I will take out the words "of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think the word "customs" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HON. HO KAI—Your Excellency says we cannot say "usage" and "customs" are simply exempting certain things from the operation of the law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see what difference there is between the two. The word "usage" is a Chinese word and anyone else is allowed to go any extent and by claiming that custom is in fact exempt from punishment. The only difference I see between the amendment of the Attorney-General and your own is that he puts "laws" instead of "usages."

HON. HO KAI—But to a certain extent customs in England and the common law is an unwritten law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—There are certain qualifications custom must possess before it is recognized as a custom. It must be long established, that is a good custom, and other qualifications.

HON. HO KAI—If there is an objection to the word "usage" I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—That is practically what I suggested at the outset.

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL—I attach importance to the word "unmarried." The word "unmarried" is put in under direct instructions from the Secretary of State. The objection on the last occasion was that as the Chinese do not marry and are not married, it was a mistake. My amendment now recognizes them as well as that of other Asiatics. Surely that is a great point.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think myself the Attorney-General's amendment is everything. It says a person shall not be liable to punishment if he obeys up to the laws and customs of his own country. I put the amendments if the hon. member still insists on the word "usage" I see any difference between the two now except that one applies to Asiatics and the other exclusively to Chinese, and I think therefore the former is the better.

HON. J. J. KENNEDY—It seems to me regrettable that the amendments cannot be reconciled. To all intents and purposes they are the same. I think that the Attorney-General's amendment is a better one. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—Exactly. That is why I am disposed towards that of the Attorney-General. I am not objecting to the word "usage" but I am objecting to the word "usage" which is contained in the amendment of the Attorney-General. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see why you should say "this Colony." I think the marriage customs should be under the control of the laws of their own country. Supporting a position existed among the Chinese here which is contrary to the established law of China. Is the Chinese law to be made null and void? I think customs which may exist among the Chinese here.

HON. HO KAI—Tuen I will take out the words "of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think the word "customs" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HON. HO KAI—Your Excellency says we cannot say "usage" and "customs" are simply exempting certain things from the operation of the law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see what difference there is between the two. The word "usage" is a Chinese word and anyone else is allowed to go any extent and by claiming that custom is in fact exempt from punishment. The only difference I see between the amendment of the Attorney-General and your own is that he puts "laws" instead of "usages."

HON. HO KAI—But to a certain extent customs in England and the common law is an unwritten law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—There are certain qualifications custom must possess before it is recognized as a custom. It must be long established, that is a good custom, and other qualifications.

HON. HO KAI—If there is an objection to the word "usage" I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—That is practically what I suggested at the outset.

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL—I attach importance to the word "unmarried." The word "unmarried" is put in under direct instructions from the Secretary of State. The objection on the last occasion was that as the Chinese do not marry and are not married, it was a mistake. My amendment now recognizes them as well as that of other Asiatics. Surely that is a great point.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think myself the Attorney-General's amendment is everything. It says a person shall not be liable to punishment if he obeys up to the laws and customs of his own country. I put the amendments if the hon. member still insists on the word "usage" I see any difference between the two now except that one applies to Asiatics and the other exclusively to Chinese, and I think therefore the former is the better.

HON. J. J. KENNEDY—It seems to me regrettable that the amendments cannot be reconciled. To all intents and purposes they are the same. I think that the Attorney-General's amendment is a better one. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—Exactly. That is why I am disposed towards that of the Attorney-General. I am not objecting to the word "usage" but I am objecting to the word "usage" which is contained in the amendment of the Attorney-General. I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see why you should say "this Colony." I think the marriage customs should be under the control of the laws of their own country. Supporting a position existed among the Chinese here which is contrary to the established law of China. Is the Chinese law to be made null and void? I think customs which may exist among the Chinese here.

HON. HO KAI—Tuen I will take out the words "of this Colony."

HIS EXCELLENCY—I think the word "customs" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HON. HO KAI—Your Excellency says we cannot say "usage" and "customs" are simply exempting certain things from the operation of the law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—I do not see what difference there is between the two. The word "usage" is a Chinese word and anyone else is allowed to go any extent and by claiming that custom is in fact exempt from punishment. The only difference I see between the amendment of the Attorney-General and your own is that he puts "laws" instead of "usages."

HON. HO KAI—But to a certain extent customs in England and the common law is an unwritten law.

HIS EXCELLENCY—There are certain qualifications custom must possess before it is recognized as a custom. It must be long established, that is a good custom, and other qualifications.

HON. HO KAI—If there is an objection to the word "usage" I think the word "usage" is a dangerous word to insert. Suppose usage justified usage? Captain Elliot in his proclamation exempted torture.

HIS EXCELLENCY—That is practically what I suggested at the outset.

THE

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

REGULAR REGISTER.

Ship	From	To	Days	Time	Wind	Weather	Remarks
52	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
53	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
54	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
55	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
56	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
57	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
58	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
59	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
60	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
61	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
62	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
63	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
64	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
65	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
66	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
67	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
68	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
69	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
70	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
71	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
72	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
73	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
74	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
75	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
76	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
77	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
78	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
79	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
80	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
81	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
82	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
83	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
84	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
85	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
86	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
87	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
88	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
89	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
90	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
91	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
92	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
93	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
94	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
95	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
96	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
97	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
98	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
99	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
100	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1

REGULAR REGISTER.

Ship	From	To	Days	Time	Wind	Weather	Remarks
52	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
53	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
54	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
55	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
56	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
57	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
58	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
59	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
60	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
61	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
62	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
63	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
64	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
65	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
66	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
67	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
68	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
69	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
70	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
71	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
72	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
73	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
74	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
75	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
76	SW	1	1	1	1	1	1
77	SW	1	1				

TIONS.

BIRMINGHAM.

MAP OF NORTH
J. W. PATTERSON,
NEW EDITION.
To be had at Daily
Bell's.
[1890.] [1494]

SHIPPING AND TRADING
LIMITED.

SUBSCRIBERS.

given that the
of \$15 (Fifteen)
in above Company
the most the Hongkong
Consolidation or on

[1890] per cent. per An-
Cable orders.
of Directors,
J. WHEELLEY,
Secretary.

[1890.] [1494]

MILBURN LIMITED.

even that at a Meeting
of this Company
and FINAL CALL
making in all \$5.60 per
the Members and that
the Undersecretary, at the
on before WEDNES-

d of Directors,
A. A. BARRETO,
SECRETARY.

[1890.] [1491]

HOTEL

LONG.

to notify the Public
at Porto, that he will
HOTEL, on or about

ASS HOTEL

West, opposite the
it is newly built after
European Hotels.
Rooms are com-
well Furnished, and
or Married Persons on the
large and looks on the

with supplied will be
provide,
Rooms are on the
the Hotel, in superio-
FOREIGN TRAVEL-
of the best qualities
supplied.
before bears the patron-
ing to give every satis-

S. EDWARDS,
Proprietor. [1895]

ICE.

COCK.

ing the Gap Rook are
ed to keep a look-out
the Harbour, in superio-
especially Signals for
possible to do this,
to the Board-
in the Harbour,
GRAY RUMSEY,
Comm., R.N.,
Harbour Master, &c.

[1890.] [1475]

RIDGE & GODDARD'S

DORAGE at Moderate
own.
VES discharged on

MEYER & Co.
[1894]

LISTS OF ALL
36 VOLUMES.

EADY.

ENGLAND, COUN-
TRAIL, IRELAND.
Essence, Vol. 32e
South, Central and

PRESS.

PORT of Africa, Asia,
the Colonies of the
Independent Empires and

ND, Vol. 24. ITALY,

S & Co.,
LITTLE BRITAIN,
E.C.
ID 1794.
(PRICED LIST).

S WANTED. [1890]

XPECTED.

N MAIL.
Messrs, with the out-
Steamer at 11 a.m. on
April.

N MAIL.
or Yuenanng, with the
Steamer on the 28th June
Brd Inst.

N MAIL.
of Peking, with the
Steamer on the 14th

N MAIL.
Athia, left Vancouver
and Hongkong.

STEAMERS.
The steamer *Fasting*,
in June and is due on

The steamer *Cyclops*, from
on the 26th June and

The steamer *Nestor*, left Singa-
and is due on the 4th

The steamer *Diamond*, left Singa-
and is due on the 4th

The steamer *Vesta*, left Singa-
and is due on the 4th

NOTICES.

CLIPPER.
Singapore.—Per P. C. C.
at 9.30 A.M.
Manfoo, and Takoo—
First, at 10.30 A.M.
Second.—Per Japan to
A.O.M.
at Foochow.—Per Hai-
First, at 2.30 P.M.;
Kobe, Yokohama, on
at 10.00 A.M.
—Per Bornside, on
at 11.30 A.M.
—Per Orygo, on Monday, the
July, from San Francisco.—Per
4th July, at 0.30 P.M.

FOR DEBTS.

THE AGENTS, nor the
RESPONSIBLE for any
difference or the Crews
during their stay in

Lee.—Adamson, Bell
Hohlmann—Geo. B.
emer, Bottiller.—Giff
Gardner.—David Ska
—Stimson & Co.
—Stimson, —
—G. J. Jones.

